

August 25, 2006

AO DRAFT COMMENT PROCEDURES

The Commission permits the submission of written public comments on draft advisory opinions when proposed by the Office of General Counsel and scheduled for a future Commission agenda.

Today, DRAFT ADVISORY OPINION 2006-26 is available for public comments under this procedure. It was requested by, Jan Witold Baran, Esq., and D. Mark Renaud, Esq., on behalf of Texans for Henry Bonilla.

Proposed Advisory Opinion 2006-26 is scheduled to be on the Commission's agenda for its public meeting of Tuesday, August 29, 2006.

Please note the following requirements for submitting comments:

1) Comments must be submitted in writing to the Commission Secretary with a duplicate copy to the Office of General Counsel. Comments in legible and complete form may be submitted by fax machine to the Secretary at (202) 208-3333 and to OGC at (202) 219-3923.

2) The deadline for the submission of comments is 12:00 noon (Eastern Time) on August 28, 2006.

3) No comments will be accepted or considered if received after the deadline. Late comments will be rejected and returned to the commenter. Requests to extend the comment period are discouraged and unwelcome. An extension request will be considered only if received before the comment deadline and then only on a case-by-case basis in special circumstances.

4) All timely received comments will be distributed to the Commission and the Office of General Counsel. They will also be made available to the public at the Commission's Public Records Office.

CONTACTS

Press inquiries: Robert Biersack (202) 694-1220

Commission Secretary: Mary Dove (202) 694-1040

Other inquiries:

To obtain copies of documents related to AO 2006-26, contact the Public Records Office at (202) 694-1120 or (800) 424-9530.

For questions about comment submission procedures, contact Rosemary C. Smith, Associate General Counsel, at (202) 694-1650.

MAILING ADDRESSES

**Commission Secretary
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463**

**Rosemary C. Smith
Associate General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463**

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
SECRETARIAT



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20463

2006 AUG 25 P 12:56

August 25, 2006

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

THROUGH: Patrina M. Clark *mc*
Staff Director

FROM: Lawrence H. Norton *LHN (copy me)*
General Counsel

Rosemary C. Smith *RC5*
Associate General Counsel

Amy L. Rothstein *AR*
Acting Assistant General Counsel

Jonathan M. Levin *JL*
Senior Attorney

SUBJECT: Draft AO 2006-26

AGENDA ITEM
For Meeting of: 08-29-06

SUBMITTED LATE

Attached is a proposed draft of the subject advisory opinion. We request that this draft be placed on the agenda for August 29, 2006.

Attachment

1 **ADVISORY OPINION 2006-26**

2
3 **Jan Witold Baran, Esquire**
4 **D. Mark Renaud, Esquire**
5 **1776 K Street, N.W.**
6 **Washington, D.C. 20463**

DRAFT

7
8 **Dear Messrs. Baran and Renaud:**

9 **We are responding to your advisory opinion request on behalf of Texans for Henry**
10 **Bonilla ("the Bonilla Committee") concerning the application of the Federal Election Campaign**
11 **Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and Commission regulations to the contribution limits that**
12 **apply to the 23rd congressional district after a court order altered its boundaries in the middle of a**
13 **general election period. Specifically, you ask whether the contribution limits for the newly**
14 **scheduled November 7, 2006, special general election are separate and distinct from the**
15 **contribution limits that applied to the now-cancelled November 7 regular general election in the**
16 **23rd congressional district.**

17 **The Commission concludes that the contributions for the newly scheduled special general**
18 **election are separate and distinct from the contribution limits that applied to the now-cancelled**
19 **November 7 regular general election, and the Bonilla Committee may accept contributions for**
20 **the newly scheduled special election, as described below.**

21 ***Background***

22
23 **The facts presented in this advisory opinion are based on your letter received on August**
24 **17, 2006.**

25 **The Bonilla Committee is the principal campaign committee of Representative Henry**
26 **Bonilla who is seeking re-election to the House of Representatives from the 23rd congressional**
27 **district of Texas. He ran unopposed in the March 7, 2006, primary.**

1 On August 4, 2006, a three-judge panel of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District
2 of Texas, on remand from the U.S. Supreme Court,¹ ordered new boundaries for five
3 congressional districts in Texas, including the 23rd district. *See League of United Latin American*
4 *Citizens v. Perry*, Civil No. 2:03-CV-354 (E.D. Tex Aug. 4, 2006). The court also ordered that
5 special general elections for the House seats in these districts be held on November 7, 2006, in
6 conjunction with the general election for other Federal and non-Federal offices in Texas.² These
7 special general elections will be held instead of the previously scheduled regular general
8 elections for the House seats in these five districts. The special general elections will be open to
9 all who qualify for the ballot in accordance with the court-ordered filing deadlines, and will not
10 be limited to the primary winners from earlier in 2006. If no candidate receives a majority of
11 votes in any of the five districts, a runoff election for the seat between the two candidates
12 receiving the most votes in that district's election will be held on a date to be determined later.

13 As a result of the court order, Representative Bonilla is no longer his party's nominee but
14 will be, instead, a candidate in the special general election in the 23rd district, which may involve
15 other candidates of his party as well as multiple candidates from other parties.

16 ***Question Presented***

17 *Are the contribution limits for the newly scheduled November 7, 2006, special general*
18 *election separate and distinct from the contribution limits that applied to the now-cancelled*
19 *November 7 regular general election in the 23rd congressional district?*

20

¹ *See League of United Latin American Citizens v. Perry*, __U.S. __, 126 S. Ct. 2594 (2006).

² Although the district court's order refers to the newly scheduled election only as a "special election," the election is a "special general election" under Commission regulations. *See* 11 CFR 100.2(b)(1) and (2); *see also* 11 CFR 100.2(f).

1 ***Legal Analysis and Conclusions***

2 Yes, the limits on contributions to the Bonilla Committee that would apply with respect
3 to the newly scheduled special general election will be separate and distinct from the limits on
4 contributions with respect to the now cancelled regular general election.

5 In Advisory Opinions 1996-36 (Representatives Sheila Jackson Lee, Martin Frost, Ken
6 Bentsen, Gene Green, and Eddie Bernice Johnson) and 1996-37 (Kevin Brady), the Commission
7 addressed similar requests from candidates for the House of Representatives who had been
8 nominated in Texas primaries or primary runoffs held earlier in 1996. Both advisory opinions
9 involved an August 5, 1996, Federal court order redrawing the boundaries of thirteen
10 congressional districts in Texas.³ The court order set special general elections in those districts
11 that were open to all candidates who qualified for the ballot for the special election, and were not
12 limited to those who had been nominated earlier in the year. If no candidate captured a majority
13 of votes in one of these special elections, then a runoff similar to the one ordered by the district
14 court in 2006 would have been held. Both of these 1996 advisory opinions addressed the
15 question you ask here and concluded that the regular general election and the new special general
16 election were separate elections for the purposes of the Act's limitations.⁴

17 The Commission considers Representative Bonilla's situation to be materially
18 indistinguishable from the situations presented by the previously nominated candidates in
19 Advisory Opinions 1996-36 and 1996-37. Like those candidates, Mr. Bonilla was running in a
20 general election as his party's nominee from March 8, 2006, until August 4, 2006. The August 4

³ See *Vera v. Bush*, 933 F. Supp. 1341 (S.D. Tex. 1996).

⁴ Advisory Opinion 1996-36 also addressed additional questions, including those pertaining to the application of the annual (now biennial) aggregate limits at 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(3), the establishment of a separate account for a possible runoff election, and the application of the party coordinated expenditure limits at 2 U.S.C. 441a(d).

1 district court decision, while not voiding the holding of the March primary for the purposes of the
2 Act's contribution limitations, nullified the results of the March primary. After August 4,
3 Representative Bonilla was placed in a new electoral situation created by the district court,
4 whereby he was no longer his party's nominee but was, instead, a candidate in an election that
5 could involve other candidates of the same party as well as other parties. The effect of the
6 district court's decision, therefore, was to create a new general election contest, beginning on
7 August 5, 2006, and running through November 7, 2006; the decision created, in effect, a
8 different election campaign period from the one that began on March 8 and ended on August 4,
9 2006.

10 The Commission concludes, therefore, that one election limit applies to contributions
11 made before August 5, 2006, to the Bonilla Committee for the regular general election and a
12 separate, special general election limit applies to contributions made after August 4 to the Bonilla
13 Committee.⁵ Thus, any lawful contribution made to the Bonilla Committee before August 5,
14 2006, with respect to the regular general election will not count toward the separate limit that
15 will apply to contributions for the November 7th special general election. The applicable limits
16 are \$5,000 per election for contributions from multicandidate committees and \$2,100 per election
17 for contributions from persons other than multicandidate committees. See 2 U.S.C.
18 441a(a)(1)(A) and (2)(A); 11 CFR 110.1(b)(1) and 110.2(b)(1).

19 With respect to the treatment of campaign debt for these elections, the Commission
20 adopts the analysis used in Advisory Opinion 1996-36, given that the situations presented here

⁵ A contribution is considered "made" when the contributor relinquishes control over the contribution. For contributions mailed to a political committee, the postmark date on the envelope is the date the contribution is made. 11 CFR 110.1(b)(6) and 110.2(b)(6); see also 11 CFR 110.1(l)(4).

1 and in that advisory opinion are materially indistinguishable. A candidate's authorized
2 committees may determine their net debts outstanding with respect to the November 7, 2006,
3 special general election and accept contributions after November 7 that are designated by the
4 contributor for the special general election, so long as such contributions do not exceed the
5 committee's net debts outstanding from that election. *See* 11 CFR 110.1(b)(3) and 110.2(b)(3).
6 A candidate's authorized committees may not, however, determine their net debts outstanding as
7 of August 4 and collect any contributions after that date that are designated for the regular
8 general election. *Id.*

9 The Commission also notes, as in Advisory Opinions 1996-36 and 1996-37, that a
10 contribution received by the Bonilla Committee for the March primary does not have to be
11 aggregated with any contribution received for the regular general election or the special general
12 election, but remains subject to the limits of 2 U.S.C. 441a(a).⁶ In addition, any unused
13 contributions lawfully made to the Bonilla Committee for the March 2006 primary election, and
14 any unused contributions lawfully made to the Bonilla Committee for the regular general election
15 as of August 4, 2006, do not have to be redesignated by the contributors for the special general
16 election.⁷ *See* 11 CFR 110.3(c)(3).

17 This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the Act and
18 Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your request. *See* 2

19

⁶ Contributions received for the March primary include contributions made before the March primary and not specifically designated for another election, and contributions made after the March primary and specifically designated by the contributor for primary debt retirement (if there were any debts outstanding from the primary). *See* 11 CFR 110.1(b)(2) and (3), 110.2(b)(2) and (3).

⁷ You have not asked any questions pertaining to the application of the Millionaires' Amendment, which was added to the Act by the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-155, 116 Stat. 81 (2002). *See* 2 U.S.C. 441a-1; 11 CFR Part 400. Thus, the Commission is not addressing the application of the Millionaires' Amendment.

1 U.S.C. 437f. The Commission emphasizes that, if there is a change in any of the facts or
2 assumptions presented, and such facts or assumptions are material to a conclusion presented in
3 this advisory opinion, then the requestor may not rely on that conclusion as support for its
4 proposed activity.

5 Sincerely,

6
7
8
9 Michael E. Toner
10 Chairman

11
12 Enclosures (Advisory Opinions 1996-37 and 1996-36)

13